XTrustwave[®]

Trustwave SWG Sizing Recommendations

Key Points

Sizing figures are in Requests per Second (RPS), based on 50% CPU load and default policy with all engines enabled.

These numbers represent the lower end of the spectrum; actual performance depends heavily on the final security policy and can/will vary.

| Trustwave SWG Appliance | RPS - 10.2 | Throughput: Mbit/sec - 10.2 | RPS - 11.0 | Throughput: Mbit/sec - 11.0 |
|----------------------------|------------|--------------------------------|------------|--------------------------------|
| SWG 3000 M3 | 122 | 11 | 128 | 12 |
| SWG 3000 M4 | 290 | 27 | 290 | 27 |
| SWG 5000 M3 6C | 350 | 33 | 400 | 37 |
| SWG 5000 M4 | 350 | 32 | 400 | 36 |
| SWG 7000 HS22 | 187 | 17 | 190 | 17 |
| SWG 7000 HS23 | 350 | 32 | 400 | 36 |
| SWG VM 4 core* | 121 | 11 | 118 | 11 |
| SWG VM 1 core* | 28 | 2 | 27 | 2 |

General Notes

- Every 15% increase in HTTPS traffic reduces the RPS capacity by 10%
- In All-in-One installations, expect a 20% reduction in the maximum RPS per SWG installation
- Maximum HTTP connections is 16K
- Maximum HTTPS connections is 4K

*Virtual Installation Notes

- Performance numbers are based on CPU cores
- The virtual machines were tested with a configuration of up to 4 CPUs
- Sizing is based on the following reference VM Host specifications:

| CPU | Memory | Disk |
|---------------------------------|--------|----------------------------|
| M4 2xE5-6230 Intel Xeon 2.30GHz | 16G | 2X146GB SAS disks in Raid1 |

Revision History

| Version | Date | Changes |
|---------|---------------|------------------|
| 1.0 | December 2012 | SWG 10.2 release |
| 2.0 | May 2013 | SWG 11.0 release |